

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,947	FRANGER ET AL.
	Examiner BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ	Art Unit 1793

All Participants:

(1) BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Feng Shan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2010

Time: 1:50 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

29, 30, 34, 36-47, 49 and 52-76

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brittany M Martinez/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Possible allowable subject matter was discussed and the Examiner suggested Applicants cancel Claims 69-75, as said Claims are drawn to product-by-process Claims and remain rejected over the prior art of record. Mr. Shan indicated that Applicants decline to cancel Claims 69-75 at this time. .